| ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JU                          | it)               | February 2002                |                     |                     |                     |                       |                     |                  |            |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------|
| BUDGET ACTIVITY 7 - Operational system development |                   | PE NUMBER<br><b>1001018A</b> |                     |                     | ARS                 | PROJECT<br><b>C35</b> |                     |                  |            |
| COST (In Thousands)                                | FY 2001<br>Actual | FY 2002<br>Estimate          | FY 2003<br>Estimate | FY 2004<br>Estimate | FY 2005<br>Estimate | FY 2006<br>Estimate   | FY 2007<br>Estimate | Cost to Complete | Total Cost |
| C35 NATO AGS - TIARA                               | 50                | 0 0                          | 512                 | 512                 | 611                 | 610                   | 710                 | Continuing       | Continuing |

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: The United States is a major participant in a cooperative venture to select and procure a ground surveillance capability for North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) forces. In May 1997, a Conference of National Armament Directors invited member nations to offer Alliance Ground Surveillance (AGS) solutions. Currently, work continues to establish a solution for a NATO AGS system. The Army will support US Government activities in providing a NATO AGS system, focusing on the ground station segment of any solution. Once NATO members agree upon an AGS solution, Army efforts will shift from defining an acceptable solution to the necessary development of data formats, interoperability, and ground station hardware and software requirements. The three Army imperatives with regard to participation in NATO AGS are interoperability, technology re-use, and technology feedback. This system supports the legacy transition path of the Transformation Campaign Plan (TCP).

FY03 funds will provide personnel and resources to the NATO Trans-Atlantic Advanced Radar (NATAR) Program Definition Office (PDO). The Army will fund work contributing to interoperability among allied nations and support US participation in pertinent exercises such as "Clean Hunter". Other primary support to NATO AGS will include the development of a Concept of Operations (CONOPS) and Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures (TTP). The Army will support both working level meetings and executive oversight groups such as the NATAR Management Board, the AGS Steering Committee, and the Conference of National Armament Directors.

# **FY 2001 Accomplishments:**

• Support the NATAR Program Definition Office in the preparation of acquisition documentation for the development/procurement of NATO AGS Air and Ground Segments.

Total 500

| ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIF                      | TICATION (R-2 Exhibit)                          | February 2002         |
|--|---|-----------------------|
| BUDGET ACTIVITY 7 - Operational system development | PE NUMBER AND TITLE 1001018A - NATO Joint STARS | PROJECT<br><b>C35</b> |

# FY 2003 Planned Program

- Continue to support necessary meetings and conferences.
- 205 Develop Ground Station Segment to meet NATO AGS Requirements.
- 169 Conduct Developmental Tests and Demonstrations.
- Support the NATAR Program Definition Office in the preparation of acquisition documentation for development/procurement of NATO AGS Air and Ground Segments.

Total 512

| B. Program Change Summary                     | FY 2001 | FY 2002 | FY 2003 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Previous President's Budget (FY2002 PB)       | 0       | 2109    | 2208    |
| Appropriated Value                            | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| Adjustments to Appropriated Value             | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| a. Congressional General Reductions           | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| b. SBIR/STTR                                  | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| c. Omnibus or Other Above Threshold Reduction | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| d. Below Threshold Reprogramming              | 500     | 0       | 0       |
| e. Recissions                                 | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| Adjustments to Budget Years Since FY2002 PB   | 0       | 0       | -1696   |
| Current Budget Submit (FY 2003 PB)            | 500     | 0       | 512     |

# Change Summary Explanation:

FY01 - \$ .500M reprogrammed from 64770 202 to support NATO AGS efforts

FY02 - \$2.109M zeroed out by Congressional action.

FY03 - \$1.695M Funds were realigned from this project to support other Army higher priority requirements. \$0.001M Decrease in form of budget adjustment

#### **ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R-2 Exhibit)** February 2002 **BUDGET ACTIVITY** PE NUMBER AND TITLE PROJECT 7 - Operational system development 1001018A - NATO Joint STARS C35 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2006 FY 2007 To Compl Total Cost C. Other Program Funding Summary FY 2001 FY 2002 BA1080 Joint STARS (TIARA) 8438 0 0 198845 65806 21141 8620 500 4308 301 0 0 18573 BS9724 Joint STARS Spares 4765 3265

**D. Acquisition Strategy:** NATO AGS is currently in the Program Definition phase. The Army will support this activity with both requirements and acquisition personnel. The objective is to prepare for the eventual NATO procurement of an AGS capability. Based on extensive background knowledge obtained through the development of the Army's Common Ground Station (CGS), the Army intends to support the AGS effort with the expertise of individuals already involved with CGS. The Army intends to contract with the CGS manufacturer as necessary to support the development of an AGS ground segment, and to support exercises and demonstrations as they pertain to the US Government objectives and the Army AGS imperatives.

28133

8026

4740

4939

6267

6184

5817

0

89782

| E. Schedule Profile                                     | FY 2001 | FY 2002 | FY 2003 | FY 2004 | FY 2005 | FY 2006 | FY 2007 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Development of Baseline Solution                        | 1-4Q    |         | 1-4Q    | 1-4Q    | 1-4Q    |         |         |
| Spiral Development and Pre-Planned Product Improvements |         |         |         |         | 1-40    | 1-40    | 1-40    |

64770/D202 Joint Stars (TIARA)

#### **ARMY RDT&E COST ANALYSIS(R-3)** February 2002 PE NUMBER AND TITLE BUDGET ACTIVITY PROJECT 7 - Operational system development 1001018A - NATO Joint STARS C35 FY 2001 FY 2001 FY 2002 FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2003 I. Product Development Contract Performing Activity & Total Cost To Total Target Method & Location PYs Cost Cost Award Cost Award Cost Award Complete Cost Value of Date Date Date Contract Type a. Develop Architecture, T&M Motorola, Scottsdale, 20724 0 10 Continue 20724 Continue Data Formats and ΑZ **Interoperablity Requirements** Motorola, Scottsdale, b. Develop NATO AGS 0 T&M 0 0 156 10 Continue 156 Continue Solutions for Ground Station AZSegment 20724 0 0 156 20880 Continue Continue Subtotal: II. Support Cost Performing Activity & Total FY 2001 FY 2001 FY 2002 FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2003 Cost To Total Target Contract Method & Location PYs Cost Cost Award Cost Award Cost Award Complete Cost Value of Type Date Date Date Contract a . Program Definition MIPR PDO. Brussels 0 405 1-40 0 123 10 Continue 528 Continue Office (PDO) Support b. Support Executive and MIPR Various 0 20 1-4Q 0 16 1-40 Continue 36 Continue Working Level Meetings and Conferences 0 425 0 139 Continue 564 Continue Subtotal:

| ARMY RDT&E COST ANAI BUDGET ACTIVITY 7 - Operational system development |                              |                                |                   | PE N            | PE NUMBER AND TITLE 1001018A - NATO Joint STARS |                 |                          |                 | February 2002  PROJECT C35 |                     |               |                                |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
|   |                              |                                |                   |                 |   |                 |                          |                 |                            |                     |               |                                |
| III. Test and Evaluation  | Contract<br>Method &<br>Type | Performing Activity & Location | Total<br>PYs Cost | FY 2001<br>Cost | FY 2001<br>Award<br>Date                        | FY 2002<br>Cost | FY 2002<br>Award<br>Date | FY 2003<br>Cost | FY 2003<br>Award<br>Date   | Cost To<br>Complete | Total<br>Cost | Target<br>Value of<br>Contract |
| a . Conduct Exercises and Demonstrations                                | T&M                          | Various Locations              | 0                 | 0               |   | 0               |                          | 62              | 1Q                         | Continue            | 62            | Continue                       |
| b . Test and Evaluation<br>Support                                      | MIPR                         | Other Gov't Agencies           | 0                 | 0               |   | 0               |                          | 72              | 1Q                         | Continue            | 72            | Continue                       |
| Subtotal:   |                              |                                | 0                 | 0               |   | 0               |                          | 134             |                            | Continue            | 134           | Continue                       |
|   |                              |                                |                   |                 |   |                 |                          |                 |                            |                     |               |                                |
| IV. Management Services   | Contract<br>Method &<br>Type | Performing Activity & Location | Total<br>PYs Cost | FY 2001<br>Cost | FY 2001<br>Award<br>Date                        | FY 2002<br>Cost | FY 2002<br>Award<br>Date | FY 2003<br>Cost | FY 2003<br>Award<br>Date   | Cost To<br>Complete | Total<br>Cost | Target<br>Value of<br>Contract |
| a . Program Management  |                              | PM CGS, Fort<br>Monmouth, NJ   | 1707              | 75              | 1-4Q  | 0               |                          | 83              | 1Q                         | Continue            | 1865          | Continue                       |
| Subtotal:   |                              |                                | 1707              | 75              |   | 0               |                          | 83              |                            | Continue            | 1865          | Continue                       |
|   |                              |                                |                   |                 |   |                 |                          |                 |                            |                     |               |                                |
|   |                              | 1                              | 22431             | 500             |   | 0               |                          | 512             |                            | Continue            | 23443         | Continue                       |